

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,146	01/04/2002	Charles W. Berthoud	C.BERTHOUD 22	2400
⁴⁷³⁹⁶ HITT GAINES	STEMS INC.	7	EXAMINER	
AGERE SYST			CHEN, TSE W	
PO BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RICH HODGE	, 111 / 5005		2116	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@hittgaines.com

. Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/041,146	BERTHOUD, CHARLES W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tse Chen	2116	

	Tse Chen	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a No ving replies: (1) an amendmetice of Appeal (with appeal for with 37 CFR 1.114. The reservent of the final rejection.	tice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other evider ee) in compliance with 37 C eply must be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH 06.07(f).	e mailing date of the final rejecti EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for re than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The appropr ply originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
	Lukuda da da da a filimo	a baint will not be antored b	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (s w);	ee NOTE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be . appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
	_	any rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		lan Camaliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ion-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		☐ will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde y and was not earlier presen	r appeal and/or appellant fa ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attack	nea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least newly amended claim limitation of "a single USB cable coupling a peripheral device to a host device" appears to overcome cited reference Chief. However, further search is required.

ļ

SUPERVISCO 2 27 DT